

TeX in 2001

are we still up-to-date?

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphic can be put anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects of text can be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it comes to combining graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good manuals, examples, courses and support.

are we still up-to-date?

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphic can be put anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects of text can be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it comes to combining graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good manuals, examples, courses and support.

are we still up-to-date?

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphic can be put anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects of text can be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it comes to combining graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top applications come with good manuals, examples, courses and support.

are we still up-to-date?

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphic can be put anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects of text can be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it comes to combining graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top applications come with good manuals, examples, courses and support.

are we still up-to-date?

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphic can be put anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects of text can be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it comes to combining graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good manuals, examples, courses and support.

are we still up-to-date?

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics can be placed anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects can be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it comes to typesetting and graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top applications come with good documentation, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

T_EX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

are the same up to now.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics are placed anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant details can be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it comes to typesetting graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top applications come with good documentation, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

T_EX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

are the same up to now.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics can be placed anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant details can be influenced.

\TeX is a potential winner when it comes to typesetting graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top applications come with good documentation, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

\TeX -like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

are the same up to some point.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics can be placed anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects can be influenced.

TEX is a potential winner when it comes to typesetting graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good documentation, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

TEX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

are the same as the ones...

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics can be placed anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant details can be influenced.

TEX is a potential winner when it comes to typesetting and graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good documentation, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

TEX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

are the same up to now.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics can be placed anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant aspects can be influenced.

TeX is a potential winner when it comes to text and graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good user interfaces, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

TeX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

Competing with Authoring

Documents become more than alone text, so we need to provide interactive elements.

Depending on the technology used, such elements are fragile and not safe for the future.

So, at the same time we need to guard the traditional means of navigating documents.

Here, TeX can often go further than any other system, simply because it's programmable.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics are everywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant details can be influenced.

TeX is a potential winner when it comes to graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good user interfaces, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

TeX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

Competing with Authoring

Documents become more than alone text, so we need to provide interactive elements.

Depending on the technology used, such elements are fragile and not safe for the future.

So, at the same time we need to guard the traditional means of navigating documents.

Here, TeX can often go further than any other system, simply because it's programmable.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and graphics can be placed anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant features can be influenced.

TeX is a potential winner when it comes to graphics but designers don't think that way.

Desk top application come with good user interfaces, courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

TeX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

Competing with Authoring

Documents become more than alone text, so we need to provide interactive elements.

Depending on the technology used, such elements are fragile and not safe for the future.

So, at the same time we need to guard the traditional means of navigating documents.

Here, TeX can often go further than any other system, simply because it's programmable.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

TeX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

TeX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

Competing with Authoring

Documents become more than alone text, so we need to provide interactive elements.

Depending on the technology used, such elements are fragile and not safe for the future.

So, at the same time we need to guard the traditional means of navigating documents.

Here, TeX can often go further than any other system, simply because it's programmable.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

TEX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

TEX-like tools at first look slow, demanding, old fashioned but when introduced and supported properly can gain lifelong sympathy.

Competing with Authoring

Documents become more than alone text, so we need to provide interactive elements.

Depending on the technology used, such elements are fragile and not safe for the future.

So, at the same time we need to guard the traditional means of navigating documents.

Here, TEX can often go further than any other system, simply because it's programmable.

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

\TeX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support

Competing with Fashion

There is a difference between making a rough sketch and a real product.

A proof of concept is not per definition a proof of usability.

When properly supported, and accompanied with the right tools and methods, demanding authors are willing to use \TeX .

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

rst look slow, demanding, old fashion introduced and supported properly sympathy.

Authoring

ian alone text, so we need to provide

ogy used, such elements are fragile 2.

eed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

\TeX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support

Competing with Fashion

There is a difference between making a rough sketch and a real product.

A proof of concept is not per definition a proof of usability.

When properly supported, and accompanied with the right tools and methods, demanding authors are willing to use \TeX .

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

First look slow, demanding, old fashion introduced and supported properly sympathy.

Authoring

ian alone text, so we need to provide

ogy used, such elements are fragile 2.

eed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support

Competing with Fashion

There is a difference between making a rough sketch and a real product.

A proof of concept is not per definition a proof of usability.

When properly supported, and accompanied with the right tools and methods, demanding authors are willing to use T_EX.

We have to make sure that T_EX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

rst look slow, demanding, old fashion introduced and supported properly sympathy.

Authoring

ian alone text, so we need to provide

ogy used, such elements are fragile 2.

eed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

\TeX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support

Competing with Fashion

There is a difference between making a rough sketch and a real product.

A proof of concept is not per definition a proof of usability.

When properly supported, and accompanied with the right tools and methods, demanding authors are willing to use \TeX .

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

rst look slow, demanding, old fashion introduced and supported properly sympathy.

Authoring

ian alone text, so we need to provide

ogy used, such elements are fragile 2.

eed to guard the traditional means

ther than any other system, simply

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support

Competing with Fashion

There is a difference between making a rough sketch and a real product.

A proof of concept is not per definition a proof of usability.

When properly supported, and accompanied with the right tools and methods, demanding authors are willing to use T_EX.

We have to make sure that T_EX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

rst look slow, demanding, old fashion introduced and supported properly sympathy.

Authoring

ian alone text, so we need to provide

ogy used, such elements are fragile 2.

eed to guard the traditional means

rther than any other system, simply

Competing with DTP

In desk top applications, text and anywhere on the page.

Fonts, spacing, color and all relevant be influenced.

T_EX is a potential winner when it graphics but designers don't think t

Desk top application come with good courses and support

Competing with Fashion

There is a difference between making a rough sketch and a real product.

A proof of concept is not per definition a proof of usability.

When properly supported, and accompanied with the right tools and methods, demanding authors are willing to use T_EX.

We have to make sure that T_EX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Conceptual Limitations

If you want batch, you need to catch a lot of border cases in advance.

If you open a possibility, you also provide an opening to inconsistency and (in case of a reprogrammable system) misuse.

But, since some control is wanted, the impossible is often not needed. So, providing structured control also provides stability.

rst look slow, demanding, old fashion introduced and supported properly sympathy.

Authoring

ian alone text, so we need to provide

ogy used, such elements are fragile 2.

eed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affordable choice for typesetting math, so "anything was better than nothing". But times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color becomes natural in print an on desktops. What you see elsewhere, is what you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competing output with a batch oriented program. Here we can use \TeX 's strength to provide multiple products.

Since "everyone can be a typesetter", the budgets for design and production of documents are relatively low (even upto the unacceptable). But, reuse pays off.

Step by isolated step production is replaced by integrated workflows. The often tight schedules demand robust methods.

Since publishers want to be in business, we must keep an eye on XML and \TeX can handle that quite well. We can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Limitations

you need to catch a lot of border

*lity, you also provide an opening
d (in case of a reprogrammable*

*ontrol is wanted, the impossible is
So, providing structured control
y.*

*look slow, demanding, old fash-
roduced and supported properly
pathy.*

Authoring

alone text, so we need to provide

y used, such elements are fragile

ed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affordable choice for typesetting math, so "anything was better than nothing". But times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color becomes natural in print an on desktops. What you see elsewhere, is what you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competing output with a batch oriented program. Here we can use \TeX 's strength to provide multiple products.

Since "everyone can be a typesetter", the budgets for design and production of documents are relatively low (even upto the unacceptable). But, reuse pays off.

Step by isolated step production is replaced by integrated workflows. The often tight schedules demand robust methods.

Since publishers want to be in business, we must keep an eye on XML and \TeX can handle that quite well. We can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Limitations

you need to catch a lot of border

*lity, you also provide an opening
d (in case of a reprogrammable*

*ontrol is wanted, the impossible is
So, providing structured control
y.*

*re look slow, demanding, old fash-
roduced and supported properly
pathy.*

Authoring

alone text, so we need to provide

y used, such elements are fragile

ed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affordable choice for typesetting math, so "anything was better than nothing". But times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color becomes natural in print an on desktops. What you see elsewhere, is what you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competing output with a batch oriented program. Here we can use \TeX 's strength to provide multiple products.

Since "everyone can be a typesetter", the budgets for design and production of documents are relatively low (even upto the unacceptable). But, reuse pays off.

Step by isolated step production is replaced by integrated workflows. The often tight schedules demand robust methods.

Since publishers want to be in business, we must keep an eye on XML and \TeX can handle that quite well. We can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Limitations

you need to catch a lot of border

*ility, you also provide an opening
d (in case of a reprogrammable*

*ontrol is wanted, the impossible is
So, providing structured control
y.*

*re look slow, demanding, old fash-
roduced and supported properly
pathy.*

Authoring

alone text, so we need to provide

y used, such elements are fragile

ed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affordable choice for typesetting math, so “anything was better than nothing”. But times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color becomes natural in print an on desktops. What you see elsewhere, is what you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competing output with a batch oriented program. Here we can use \TeX 's strength to provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter”, the budgets for design and production of documents are relatively low (even upto the unacceptable). But, reuse pays off.

Step by isolated step production is replaced by integrated workflows. The often tight schedules demand robust methods.

Since publishers want to be in business, we must keep an eye on XML and \TeX can handle that quite well. We can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Limitations

you need to catch a lot of border

lity, you also provide an opening
d (in case of a reprogrammable

ontrol is wanted, the impossible is
So, providing structured control
y.

re look slow, demanding, old fash-
roduced and supported properly
pathy.

Authoring

alone text, so we need to provide

y used, such elements are fragile

ed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affordable choice for typesetting math, so “anything was better than nothing”. But times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color becomes natural in print an on desktops. What you see elsewhere, is what you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competing output with a batch oriented program. Here we can use \TeX 's strength to provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter”, the budgets for design and production of documents are relatively low (even upto the unacceptable). But, reuse pays off.

Step by isolated step production is replaced by integrated workflows. The often tight schedules demand robust methods.

Since publishers want to be in business, we must keep an eye on XML and \TeX can handle that quite well. We can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Limitations

You need to catch a lot of border

lity, you also provide an opening of (in case of a reprogrammable

ontrol is wanted, the impossible is So, providing structured control y.

look slow, demanding, old fashioned and supported properly pathy.

Authoring

alone text, so we need to provide

y used, such elements are fragile

ed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affordable choice for typesetting math, so “anything was better than nothing”. But times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color becomes natural in print an on desktops. What you see elsewhere, is what you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competing output with a batch oriented program. Here we can use \TeX 's strength to provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter”, the budgets for design and production of documents are relatively low (even upto the unacceptable). But, reuse pays off.

Step by isolated step production is replaced by integrated workflows. The often tight schedules demand robust methods.

Since publishers want to be in business, we must keep an eye on XML and \TeX can handle that quite well. We can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Limitations

you need to catch a lot of border

lity, you also provide an opening of (in case of a reprogrammable

ontrol is wanted, the impossible is So, providing structured control y.

look slow, demanding, old fashioned and supported properly pathy.

Authoring

alone text, so we need to provide

y used, such elements are fragile

ed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affordable choice for typesetting math, so “anything was better than nothing”. But times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color becomes natural in print and on desktops. What you see elsewhere, is what you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competing output with a batch oriented program. Here we can use \TeX 's strength to provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter”, the budgets for design and production of documents are relatively low (even upto the unacceptable). But, reuse pays off.

Step by isolated step production is replaced by integrated workflows. The often tight schedules demand robust methods.

Since publishers want to be in business, we must keep an eye on XML and \TeX can handle that quite well. We can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strength.

Limitations

You need to catch a lot of border

ility, you also provide an opening of (in case of a reprogrammable

ontrol is wanted, the impossible is So, providing structured control y.

look slow, demanding, old fashioned and supported properly pathy.

Authoring

alone text, so we need to provide

y used, such elements are fragile

ed to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better than nothing” times have changed.

More and more, graphics and content are printed on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter”, design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can hardly can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

need to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print an on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competit oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter, design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

need to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter, design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can hardly can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

need to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print an on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

Need to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter, design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

Need to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter, design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

need to guard the traditional means

rather than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter, design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

We need to guard the traditional means of typesetting, which is still better than any other system, simply

because it is a mature system, which is still better than any other system, simply

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter, design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedule methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do most of the (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automatic document processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so complicated (math) texts can result in endless feedback-loops.

Being a wide spread tool, is no guarantee for being a good tool (this is true for \TeX as well as commercial applications). Tools get kicked out on and off.

Authors still want a certain level of control, but if the results are great, they are willing to accept limitations.

Authors often have a clear picture of what they want to see in print, and today they print on their desktop.

In serving the authors, we must not forget the demands of publishers, especially the need for reuse of source code.

We need to guard the traditional means of typesetting, which is still the most used to guard the traditional means of typesetting, which is still the most used.

TeX is not better than any other system, simply because it is a typesetting system.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competitive oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedules methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do (decent) things that designers want to do

We also need to educate designers in automation processing: its weaknesses and strengths

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so completely

User Demands

Being good

applying

Author result

Author see in

In see

of publisher

\TeX is also used by non-profit organizations, home users, those who are on their own, and those who want control (like science students).

They want access to the whole bag of tricks, in a controlled way (and in \TeX even using fonts is trickery).

Since they are willing to participate in experiments, this provides an ideal playground for new developments.

A bag of latest tricks can endanger a stable system, and in this \TeX is not different from other applications.

Apart from trickery we can try to tap the power of file formats as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competitor oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter” design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedules methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do all (decent) things that designers want to do.

We also need to educate designers in automation processing: its weaknesses and strengths.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so completely.

loops.

Being good applicable

Author results

Author see in

In several of publishers

User Demands

\TeX is also also used by non-profit organizations, home users, those who are on their own, and those who want control (like science students).

They want access to the whole bag of tricks, in a controlled way (and in \TeX even using fonts is trickery).

Since they are willing to participate in experiments, this provides an ideal playground for new developments.

A bag of latest tricks can endanger a stable system, and in this \TeX is not different from other applications.

Apart from trickery we can try to tap the power of file formats as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competitive oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter” design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedules see in

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can hardly can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do all (decent) things that designers want to do

We also need to educate designers in automation processing: its weaknesses and strengths

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so completely

Being good

applying

Author result

Author see in

In several

of publishers

User Demands

\TeX is also also used by non-profit organizations, home users, those who are on their own, and those who want control (like science students).

They want access to the whole bag of tricks, in a controlled way (and in \TeX even using fonts is trickery).

Since they are willing to participate in experiments, this provides an ideal playground for new developments.

A bag of latest tricks can endanger a stable system, and in this \TeX is not different from other applications.

Apart from trickery we can try to tap the power of file formats as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competitive oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedules methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do all (decent) things that designers want to do

We also need to educate designers in automation processing: its weaknesses and strengths

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so completely. \TeX is not different from other applications.

Being good applications

Authors result

Authors see in

In several of publishers

User Demands

\TeX is also also used by non-profit organizations, home users, those who are on their own, and those who want control (like science students).

They want access to the whole bag of tricks, in a controlled way (and in \TeX even using fonts is trickery).

Since they are willing to participate in experiments, this provides an ideal playground for new developments.

A bag of latest tricks can endanger a stable system, and in this \TeX is not different from other applications.

Apart from trickery we can try to tap the power of file formats as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competent oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedules methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do (decent) things that designers want to do

We also need to educate designers in automation processing: its weaknesses and strengths

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so completely

loops.

Being good applicable

Author result

Author see in

In series of publishing

User Demands

\TeX is also also used by non-profit organizations, home users, those who are on their own, and those who want control (like science students).

They want access to the whole bag of tricks, in a controlled way (and in \TeX even using fonts is trickery).

Since they are willing to participate in experiments, this provides an ideal playground for new developments.

A bag of latest tricks can endanger a stable system, and in this \TeX is not different from other applications.

Apart from trickery we can try to tap the power of file formats as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

More and more, graphics and color print are on desktops. What you want yourself.

We need to provide DTP competitive oriented program. Here we can provide multiple products.

Since “everyone can be a typesetter design and production of documents (even upto the unacceptable). But

Step by isolated step production workflows. The often tight schedules methods.

Since publishers want to be in better eye on XML and \TeX can handle can even benefit from it.

We have to make sure that \TeX can do (decent) things that designers want to do

We also need to educate designers in automation processing: its weaknesses and strengths

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the tools, styles and/or strict rules.

If a separate design department is used, even not so completely

User Demands

Being good. \TeX is also used by non-profit organizations, home users, those who are on their own, and those who want control (like science students).

They want access to the whole bag of tricks, in a controlled way (and in \TeX even using fonts is trickery).

Since they are willing to participate in experiments, this provides an ideal playground for new developments.

A bag of latest tricks can endanger a stable system, and in this \TeX is not different from other applications.

Apart from trickery we can try to tap the power of file formats as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

System Characteristics

\TeX is a batch processor as well as a language. Installation has become more easy, but the whole has become messy.

This is okay if you separate authoring and typesetting and when the design is highly structured and editors have integrated support for \TeX .

If you want fancy designs, this only pays off if you produce more than one document, or when documents change a lot. Not everyone is a hobbyist, although \TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. * *

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

department is used, even not so

Demands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those with control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in any way (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments provides an ideal playground for new

but tricks can endanger a stable system. In \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

System Characteristics

\TeX is a batch processor as well as a language. Installation has become more easy, but the whole has become messy.

This is okay if you separate authoring and typesetting and when the design is highly structured and editors have integrated support for \TeX .

If you want fancy designs, this only pays off if you produce more than one document, or when documents change a lot. Not everyone is a hobbyist, although \TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. * *

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

department is used, even not so

Demands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those in control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in any way (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments provides an ideal playground for new

but tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

System Characteristics

\TeX is a batch processor as well as a language. Installation has become more easy, but the whole has become messy.

This is okay if you separate authoring and typesetting and when the design is highly structured and editors have integrated support for \TeX .

If you want fancy designs, this only pays off if you produce more than one document, or when documents change a lot. Not everyone is a hobbyist, although \TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. ★

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

department is used, even not so

Demands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those in control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in any way (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments provides an ideal playground for new

but tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

System Characteristics

\TeX is a batch processor as well as a language. Installation has become more easy, but the whole has become messy.

This is okay if you separate authoring and typesetting and when the design is highly structured and editors have integrated support for \TeX .

If you want fancy designs, this only pays off if you produce more than one document, or when documents change a lot. Not everyone is a hobbyist, although \TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. $\star\star$

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

department is used, even not so

Demands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those in control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in any way (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments provides an ideal playground for new

but tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

System Characteristics

\TeX is a batch processor as well as a language. Installation has become more easy, but the whole has become messy.

This is okay if you separate authoring and typesetting and when the design is highly structured and editors have integrated support for \TeX .

If you want fancy designs, this only pays off if you produce more than one document, or when documents change a lot. Not everyone is a hobbyist, although \TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. ★★

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

department is used, even not so

Demands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those in control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in any way (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments provides an ideal playground for new

tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Publisher Demands

For long, \TeX was the only affording math, so “anything was better times have changed.

Author Demands

Authors take part in the preparation of the final products. Not seldom, they produce most of the product.

In order to have some control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

System Characteristics

\TeX is a batch processor as well as a language. Installation has become more easy, but the whole has become messy.

This is okay if you separate authoring and typesetting and when the design is highly structured and editors have integrated support for \TeX .

If you want fancy designs, this only pays off if you produce more than one document, or when documents change a lot. Not everyone is a hobbyist, although \TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. ★

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

department is used, even not so

Demands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those with control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in any way (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments provides an ideal playground for new

but tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want original products, we still need flexible systems. In this respect \TeX is still a good choice.

In many cases, flexibility and/or original solutions come not out-of-the-box (yet).

Due to far better PR, XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. ★★

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

ls

preparation of the final products. We can reuse most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

department is used, even not so often.

ands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those who are under control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in LaTeX even using fonts is possible.

are willing to participate in experiments. LaTeX provides an ideal playground for new users.

of tricks can endanger a stable system. LaTeX is not different from other systems.

trickery we can try to tap the power of LaTeX as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want original products, we still need flexible systems. In this respect \TeX is still a good choice.

In many cases, flexibility and/or original solutions come not out-of-the-box (yet).

Due to far better PR, XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. **

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

fs

preparation of the final products. We can reuse most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the exact rules.

department is used, even not so often.

ands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those who are under control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in \TeX (and in \TeX even using fonts is possible).

are willing to participate in experiments. \TeX provides an ideal playground for new users.

But tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other systems.

With trickery we can try to tap the power of \TeX as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want original products, we still need flexible systems. In this respect \TeX is still a good choice.

In many cases, flexibility and/or original solutions come not out-of-the-box (yet).

Due to far better PR, XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. **

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

fs

preparation of the final products. We can reuse most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

department is used, even not so often.

ands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those who are under control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in \TeX (and in \TeX even using fonts is possible).

are willing to participate in experiments. \TeX provides an ideal playground for new users.

But tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other systems.

Curiosity we can try to tap the power of \TeX as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want original products, we still need flexible systems. In this respect \TeX is still a good choice.

In many cases, flexibility and/or original solutions come not out-of-the-box (yet).

Due to far better PR, XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. **

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

fs

preparation of the final products. Since most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

Department is used, even not so

ands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those who control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in \TeX (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments. \TeX provides an ideal playground for new

But tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want original products, we still need flexible systems. In this respect \TeX is still a good choice.

In many cases, flexibility and/or original solutions come not out-of-the-box (yet).

Due to far better PR, XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. **

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

fs

preparation of the final products. We can reuse most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

department is used, even not so often.

ands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those who are under control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in \TeX (and in \TeX even using fonts is possible).

are willing to participate in experiments. \TeX provides an ideal playground for new users.

But tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other systems.

With trickery we can try to tap the power of \TeX as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want original products, we still need flexible systems. In this respect \TeX is still a good choice.

In many cases, flexibility and/or original solutions come not out-of-the-box (yet).

Due to far better PR, XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. **

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

fs

preparation of the final products. We can reuse most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

department is used, even not so often.

ands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those who are under control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in \TeX (and in \TeX even using fonts is possible).

are willing to participate in experiments. \TeX provides an ideal playground for new users.

But tricks can endanger a stable system. \TeX is not different from other systems.

With carefulness we can try to tap the power of \TeX as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want original products, we still need flexible systems. In this respect \TeX is still a good choice.

In many cases, flexibility and/or original solutions come not out-of-the-box (yet).

Due to far better PR, XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. **

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

fs

preparation of the final products. Since most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

department is used, even not so

ands

used by non-profit organizations, those who are on their own, and those who are under control (like science students).

access to the whole bag of tricks, in any (and in \TeX even using fonts is

are willing to participate in experiments. It provides an ideal playground for new

of tricks can endanger a stable system. In \TeX is not different from other

trickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

Turning Tides

With the advance of highly structured formats, like XML, more verbose code gains attentions. In \TeX we can use mixed those approaches.

Since such formats pose strong limitations, for \TeX life has become easier.

A pitfall is that the problems (i.e. automated processing) have hardly changed, at least not for high-end products.

If we want systems. In

In many cases not out-of-t

Due to far better \LaTeX , XML and related tools gain much more attention than \TeX , and we can learn from that.

\TeX users can produce good output when given proper means.

For most users, batch processing is a strange thing but this may change with faster systems. Mixed DTP and batch concepts will arise. **

Whatever you do, if you want to reuse your information, you must have a bit of feeling for coding in the right way. Exploiting this is not trivial.

ls

preparation of the final products. We use most of the product.

control, publishers can provide the strict rules.

department is used, even not so

ands

organizations, and those (ents).

of tricks, in using fonts is

will be willing to participate in experiments. It provides an ideal playground for new

tricks can endanger a stable system. This \TeX is not different from other

ickery we can try to tap the power as much as possible.

\TeX in 2001

we're still not outdated.