

The pre-MathML situation

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions
·

TEX

This is the method used by the most (all) of those sitting here.

Good:

- Easy to type in
- Wide spread
- Optimal quality
- Can be easily shared as PDF

Bad:

- The $T_{\rm E}\!X$ code is not very standardised due to different packages and $I\!A T_{\rm E}\!X 2 {\rm E}$ vs. ConT_EXt
- Can't be validated

Ugly:

• T_{EX} to HTML output using Images creates big, unreadable and not postprocessable files

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

Word processors and DTP programs

Good:

- WYSIWG (well, nearly)
- If you like clicking it is easy to create (or you have to learn yet another math language as in OpenOffice)

Bad:

• You cannot pre- or postprocess it due to changing, binary and proprrietorial format

Ugly:

- Frequently sub-optimal quality
- Web output has the same problems as T_{EX} (if there exists an converter)

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

The brave new world

The	
The brave	-
Why	
Usage	•
Conclusions	
	•

Promises

The World Wide Web Consortium says that those goals are met by MathML (excerpt):

- Encode mathematical material suitable for teaching and scientific communication at all levels.
- Encode both mathematical notation and mathematical meaning.
- Facilitate conversion to and from other mathematical formats, both presentational and semantic.
- Be well suited to template and other mathematics editing techniques.
- Be human legible, and simple for software to generate and process.

But how does a language defined in such a way look like ...

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

MathML tiny sample

Let's show how a simple formula in written in MathML. We TEXies write a mathematical formula as:

 $\int d^{b} f(x) \langle m d x = F(b) - F(a)$

The mathematicians write it in these strange hieroglyphs:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = F(b) - F(a)$$

And in MathML ...

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

```
Presentational MathML
                                                                    The ...
In presentation markup of MathMLit looks like this:
                                                                 The brave ...
<math>
                                                                    Why ...
  <mrow>
    <mrow>
       <msubsup><mo>&int;</mo><mi>a</mi></mi>b</mi></msubsup>
                                                                     Usage
       <mrow><mi>f</mi><mo>&ApplyFunction;</mo>
                                                                  Conclusions
          <mo>(</mo><mi>x</mi><mo>)</mo>
       </mrow> <mo> &InvisibleTimes; </mo>
       <mrow>
         <mo>d</mo>
         <mi>x</mi>
       </mrow>
    </mrow>
    <mo>=</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mi>F</mi><mo>&ApplyFunction;</mo>
        <mrow><mo>(</mo><mi>b</mi><mo>)</mo></mrow>
      <mo>-</mo>
      <mi>F</mi><mo>&ApplyFunction;</mo>
        <mrow><mo>(</mo><mi>a</mi><mo>)</mo></mrow>
                                                                     Close
```

</mrow> </mrow> </math>

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d}x = F(b) - F(a)$$

In a more structured way ...

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions
•
•
•
•
•
•
•
•
•

Content MathML

```
More beautiful the content markup:
```

```
<math>

<apply><eq/>

<apply><int/>

<bvar><ci>x</ci></bvar>

<lowlimit><ci>a</ci></lowlimit>

<uplimit><ci>b</ci></uplimit>

<apply><fn><ci>f</ci></fn><ci>x</ci></apply>
```

```
<apply><minus/>
<apply><fn><ci>F</ci></fn><ci>b</ci></apply>
<apply><fn><ci>F</ci></fn><ci>a</ci></apply>
</apply>
</apply>
</math>
```

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = F(b) - F(a)$$

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

Why content markup is that cool

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

Directives to change the layout

The nice part of the encoding of meaning is that one can change the layout easily. Let's take this long fraction:

```
<math>
<apply><approx/>
<apply><sin/><ci>x</ci></apply>
<apply><divide/>
<ci>x</ci>
<apply><divide/><cn>1</cn>
<apply><divide/><cn>1</cn></apply>
</apply>
</apply>
</apply>
</apply>
```

Which looks as reasonable default:

$$\sin x \approx \frac{x}{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{1}}}$$

You can also display it as:

 $\sin\left(x\right) \approx x/1/1/1$

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

```
Close
```

This is done by

<?context-mathml-directive divide level 0?>
<?context-mathml-directive function reduction no?>

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions
Close



Why is presentational MathML used?

Almost all programs which can write MathML files use presentational MathML.

This brings us to the question who produces MathML:

- Mathematica. I tried 4.0 and it produces a wild HTML+MathML mixture (4.01 should be better)
- Maple 6 / Maple 7 (untried, Maple V R5.5 doesn't)
- OpenOffice/StarOffice 6: Not very well but you guess that it will work in the final release
- Ω mega. This is the natural way to produce MathML files which then will be processed by $T_E X$

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

Other MathML renderers: Mozilla

From the list on the MathML page at the World Wide Web Consortium you can see that there are not many programs which can render MathML, the number of those creating it is much higher.

MathML impressions:

- For HTML+MathML you need a special header (DOCTYPE) which is not standard conform and crashes some other MathML renderers
- It is not enabled by default in Mozilla
- Is has font problems: You need to have certain fonts installed
- It has still some problems with Content MathML

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

Conclusions

The The brave ... Why Usage Conclusions

The Good

- It is becoming a standard and $T_{\! E\!} X$ can use it
- Content markup allows you to setup the rendering in a consistent way
- Presentational markup is very easy to create by a software
- You can include literal T_EX using annotations

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions
•

The Bad

- The documentation: It is not very clear and has some bugs in it
- The presentation markup is frequently rather complicated and long
- You need (and can \Rightarrow good) intermix the content and presentation module
- Viewers and editors are not widely spread

The
The brave
Why
Usage
Conclusions

The Ugly

• The test cases on W3C are not only frequently contradictionual to the specification but also to other test cases in the same group – That is not only ugly but also really BAD.

The brave Why Usage Conclusions
Usage
Conclusions