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\section{Introduction}

ɛ-TeX is the first concrete result of an international research & development project, the \textsc{N\TeX\ S} Project, which was established under the aegis of DANTE during 1992. The aims of the project are to perpetuate and develop the spirit and philosophy of \TeX, whilst respecting Knuth’s wish that \TeX itself should remain frozen.

The group were very concerned that unless there existed some evolutionary flexibility within which \TeX could react to changing needs and environments, it might all too soon become eclipsed by more modern yet less sophisticated systems. Accordingly they agreed to investigate a possible successor or successors to \TeX, successors which would enshrine and encapsulate all that was best in \TeX whilst being freed from the evolutionary constraints which Knuth had placed on \TeX itself. To avoid any suggestion that it was \TeX which the group sought to develop against Knuth’s wishes, a working title of \textsc{N\TeX\ S} (for New Typesetting System) was chosen for the project.

During the initial meetings of the \textsc{N\TeX\ S} group, it became clear that there were two possible approaches to developments based on \TeX: an evolutionary path which would simply continue where Knuth had left off, and which would use as its basis the source code of \TeX itself (i.e. \TeX .\web); the other a revolutionary path which would be based on a completely new implementation of \TeX, using a modern rapid-prototyping language which could allow individual components of the system to be modified or replaced in a simple and straightforward manner. The group agreed that the latter (revolutionary) approach had much greater potential, but were aware that the re-implementation would be non-trivial, and would require external funding to bring it to fruition in finite time;
accordingly they agreed to concentrate their initial efforts on the former (evolutionary) path, and set to work to specify and implement a direct derivative of $\text{TeX}$ which became known as $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$. The $\varepsilon$ of $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ may be read as extended, enhanced, evolutionary or European at will (1), and is also an acknowledgement of the parallel developments which have lead the $\LaTeX$3 team to modify their initial goal and to release an interim $\LaTeX$, $\LaTeX2\varepsilon$, which is directly derived from the earlier $\LaTeX$ sources.

The group took as starting point for the development of $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ the many contributions which had been made on NTS-L (the open mailing list on which discussions pertinent to $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ & $\otimes$ take place), together with the extremely interesting list of ideas which Knuth gives at the end of $\TeX$82. Bug, and which he describes as 'Possibly nice ideas that will not be implemented' (and which he contrasts with 'Bad ideas that will not be implemented'). Individual members of the group also contributed ideas of their own which had not necessarily been discussed publicly. All proposals were then subjected to a rigorous vetting procedure to ensure that they conformed to the $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ philosophy, which may be summarised as follows:

$\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ will in all ways demonstrate its affinity to, and derivation from, Knuth's $\text{TeX}$; it will be implemented as a change-file to $\text{TeX}$, and will not exploit features which could only be achieved by using a particular implementation, operating system or language; it will be capable of being used successfully on a machine as small as an 80286-based PC or similar.

At format-generation time, a user will have the option of generating either a $\text{TeX}$-compatible format or an $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ format; if the $\text{TeX}$-compatible format is subsequently used in conjunction with $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$, the result will be $\text{Trip}$-compatible (i.e. indistinguishable from $\text{TeX}$ proper). If an $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ format is generated and used in conjunction with $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$, then provided that none of the new $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ primitives are used, the results will be identical to those which would be produced using $\text{TeX}$ proper. If an $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ format is used in conjunction with $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ and if one or more of the new $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ primitives are used, then those portions of the document which are affected by the new primitive(s) may be processed in a manner unique to $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$; other portions of the document will be processed in a manner identical to that of $\text{TeX}$ proper. Only if an $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ format is used in conjunction with $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ and if an explicit assignment is made to one of the enhanced-mode variables to enable that particular enhanced mode will $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ behave in a manner which may be distinguishable from that of $\text{TeX}$ even if no other reference to an $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ primitive occurs anywhere in the document. (These modes of operation are referred to as compatibility-mode, extended-mode and enhanced-mode respectively.)

All new $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ primitives will be syntactically identical to existing $\text{TeX}$ primitives: that is, they will be either control-words or control-symbols within a normal catcode regime. Where an analogous primitive exists within $\text{TeX}$, the corresponding $\varepsilon$-$\text{TeX}$ primitive(s) will occupy the same syntactic niche. Every effort will be made
to ensure that new $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ primitives fit into the existing set of $\TeX$ datatypes; no new datatype will be introduced unless it is absolutely essential.

In brief, this implies that $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ will follow the principle of least surprise: an existing $\TeX$ user, on using $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ for the first time, should not be surprised by $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$'s behaviour, and should be able to take advantage of new $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ features without having either to unlearn some aspects of $\TeX$ or to learn some new $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ philosophy.

2 Installation

It is intended that $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ be available ready-compiled for those systems for which pre-compiled binaries are the norm (e.g., MS-DOS, VMS, ...); for other systems such as Unix™, $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ is supplied as a change-file which will need to be applied to $\TeX$.Web in the normal way. However, since there will already be an implementation-specific change-file for the system of interest, some means will be required of merging $\TeX$.Web with not one but (at least) two change-files; possibilities include PatchWeb, Tie, etc. , but if none of these is available then WebMerge, a $\TeX$ script, is supplied and can be used as a slower but satisfactory alternative. In practice, two or three change-files may be needed: the $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ system-independent change-file, the $\TeX$ system-dependent change-file, and perhaps a small $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ system-dependent change-file. The system-independent $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ change-file is supplied as part of the $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ kit, and sample system-dependent $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ change-files are also supplied which may be used as a guide to those places at which system-dependent interactions are to be expected: an experienced implementor should have little difficulty in modifying one of these to produce an $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ system-dependent change-file for the system of interest. Once $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ has been tangled and woven, it should be compiled and linked in the normal way.

Once a working binary (or binaries, for those systems which have separate executables for IniTeX and VirTeX) has been acquired or produced, the next step will be to generate a suitable format file or files. Whilst $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ can be used in conjunction with Plain.$\TeX$ to produce a Plain $\varepsilon$-format, it is better to use the supplied $\varepsilon$-Plain.$\TeX$ file which supplements the $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ primitives with additional useful control sequences. When generating the format file, and regardless of the format source used, one fundamental decision must be made: is $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ to generate a compatibility mode format, or an extended mode format? If the former, all $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ extensions and enhancements will be disabled, the format will contain only the $\TeX$-defined set of primitives, and any subsequent use of the format in conjunction with $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ will result in completely $\TeX$-compatible behaviour and semantics, including compatibility at the level of the Trip test. If the latter option, however, is selected, then all extensions present in $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ will automatically be activated, and the format file will contain not only the $\TeX$-defined set of primitives but also those defined by $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ itself; any subsequent use of such a format in conjunction with $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ will result in $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ operating in extended mode, documents which contains no references to any of the $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$-defined primitives will continue to generate results identical to those
which would have been produced using $\TeX$, but compatibility at the Trip-test level can no longer be accomplished, and of course any document which makes reference to an $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ primitive will generate results which could not have been accomplished using $\TeX$. It should be noted that neither a compatibility mode format nor an extended mode format may be used in conjunction with $\TeX$ itself; they are only suitable for use in conjunction with $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$, since formats are not in general portable. Finally it should be emphasised that even if an extended mode format is generated, any document processed using such a format but not referencing any $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$-defined primitive will produce results identical to those which would have been produced had the same document been processed using $\TeX$; only if the document makes an explicit assignment to one of the enhanced mode state variables (\texttt{TeXxState} is the only instance of these in V1 of $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$) will compatibility with $\TeX$ be compromised: $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ is then said to be operating in enhanced mode rather than extended mode.

The choice between generating a compatibility mode format and an extended mode format is made at the point of specifying the format source file: assuming that the operating system supports command-line entry with parameters, then a normal $\TeX$ format-generation command would probably resemble:

\begin{verbatim}
Initex Plain \dump
\end{verbatim}

or if the more verbose interactive form is preferred:

\begin{verbatim}
Initex
**Plain
*\dump
\end{verbatim}

With $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$, exactly the same command will achieve exactly the same effect, and the format generated will be a compatibility-mode format; thus assuming that the inversion of $\varepsilon$-$\TeX$ is invoked with the command \texttt{eInitex}, the following will both generate \texttt{compatibility-mode} formats:

\begin{verbatim}
eInitex Plain \dump
\end{verbatim}

and

\begin{verbatim}
eInitex
**Plain
*\dump
\end{verbatim}

In order to generate an extended mode format, the file-specification for the format source file must be preceded by an asterisk (*); whilst this may seem an inelegant mechanism, it has the great advantage that it avoids almost all system dependencies (GUI systems excepted, of course), and the asterisk as a component element of a filename is a very remote possibility (most filing systems reserve the asterisk as a 'wild card' character,
which can therefore not form a part of a real file name per se). Thus to generate an extended mode Plain format, the following dialogue may be used:

\texttt{e-\TeX\ Plain \textbackslash dump}

or

\texttt{e-\TeX\ e-Plain \textbackslash dump}

and to generate an extended mode e-Plain format, the following instead:

\texttt{e-\TeX\ e-Plain \textbackslash dump}

or

\texttt{e-\TeX\ e-Plain \textbackslash dump}

Once suitable formats have been generated, they can then be used in conjunction both with e-\TeX\ and e-\VTeX\ without further formality: in particular, no asterisk is needed (nor should be used!) if a format is specified, since the format implicitly defines (depending as its mode of generation) in which mode (compatibility or extended) e-\TeX\ will operate. Thus, for example, if a Plain format had been generated in compatibility mode, and an e-Plain format had been generated in extended mode, then both:

\texttt{e-\TeX\ \& Plain}

and

\texttt{e-\VTeX\ \& Plain}

will cause e-\TeX\ to process any subsequent commands in compatibility mode. On the other hand, both

\texttt{e-\TeX\ \& e-Plain}

and

\texttt{e-\VTeX\ \& e-Plain}

will cause e-\TeX\ to process any subsequent commands in extended mode, but only because the e-Plain format was generated in extended mode: it is not the name of the format, nor is it the contents of the source of the format, which determine the mode of operation – it is the mode of operation which was used when the format was generated. Any format generated in compatibility mode will cause e-\TeX\ to operate in compatibility mode.
mode whenever it is used, whilst the same format generated in extended mode will cause \TeX{} to operate in extended mode whenever it is used.

Although \TeX{} is completely \TeX{}-compatible, and there is therefore no real reason why any system should need both \TeX{} and \TeX{}, it is anticipated that until complete confidence exists in the compatibility of \TeX{} many sites and users will prefer to retain instances of each. For this reason the supplied change-files and binaries will ensure that both \TeX{} and \TeX{} can happily co-exist on any system by a careful choice of non-overlapping name-spaces. This might, for example, be achieved by changing the default extension for \texttt{e-format} files to (say) \texttt{.efm} rather than \texttt{.fmt}, or by referencing a different format directory and/or environment variable (for example, \texttt{eTeX_formats} rather than \texttt{TeX_formats}).

3 The new features

Bearing in mind the constraints outlined in the introduction, the group identified approximately 30 new primitives which they believed would give added functionality to \TeX{} without compromising its compatibility with \TeX{}: of the 30 new primitives, 25 are extensions (which by definition do not affect the semantics of existing \TeX{} documents), whilst just six (all concerned with the implementation of \TeX{}-\ETeX{}) are associated with an enhancement. In addition to the new primitives, additional functionality was added to some existing primitives, and \TeX{}'s behaviour in some unusual boundary conditions was made more robust (this last has been subsumed in the most recent version of \TeX{}, so this is no longer \TeX{}-specific).

The new features are listed and briefly described below, clustered together to indicate related functionality; it is intended that a full description of each together with appropriate examples will be published in The e-\TeX{} Manual, which it is hoped will become the definitive reference manual for e-\TeX{}.

3.1 Additional control over expansion

- \texttt{\protected}
- \texttt{\detokenize}
- \texttt{\unexpanded}

\texttt{\protected} is a prefix analogous to \texttt{\long}, \texttt{\outer}, and \texttt{\global}; it associates with the macro being defined an attribute which inhibits expansion of the macro in expansion-only contexts (for example, within the parameter text of a \texttt{\write} or \texttt{\edef}); if, however, the parser or command processor (\TeX{}'s 'oesophagus' and 'stomach', in Knuth's alimentary paradigm) is currently demanding a \texttt{command}, then the \texttt{\protected} macro will expand in the normal way. This behaviour is identical to that displayed by the explicit expansion of a token-list register through the use of \texttt{\the}; the same model is used elsewhere in \TeX{} to achieve a consistent paradigm for partial expansion.
\texttt{detokenize}, when followed by a \texttt{general text}, expands to yield a sequence of character tokens of catcode 10 (space) or 12 (other) corresponding to a decomposition of the tokens of the \texttt{balanced text} of the unexpanded \texttt{general text}; c.f. \texttt{shorttokens}. The effect is rather as if \texttt{scantokens} (q.v.) were applied to the \texttt{general text} within a regime in which only \texttt{catcodes} 10 and 12 existed. Note that in order to preserve the boundaries between control words and any following letter, a space is yielded after each control word including the last.

\texttt{unexpanded}, when followed by a \texttt{general text}, expands to yield the \texttt{balanced text} of the unexpanded \texttt{general text}. No further expansion will occur if \texttt{e-\TeX} is currently performing a \texttt{\write}, \texttt{\edef}, etc., but further expansion will occur if the parser or command processor is currently demanding a \texttt{command}. The effect is as if the \texttt{general text} were assigned to a token list register, and the latter were then partially expanded using \texttt{\the}, but no assignment actually takes place; thus \texttt{unexpanded} can be used in expansion-only contexts.

### 3.2 Provision for re-scanning already read text

- \texttt{\readline}
- \texttt{\scantokens}

\texttt{\readline} is analogous to \texttt{\read}, but treats each character as if it were currently of catcode 10 (space) or 12 (other); the text thus read is therefore suitable for being scanned and re-scanned (using \texttt{\scantokens}, q.v.) under different catcode regimes.

\texttt{\scantokens}, when followed by a \texttt{general text}, decomposes the \texttt{balanced text} of the \texttt{general text} into the corresponding sequence of characters as if the \texttt{balanced text} were written unexpanded to a file; it then uses \texttt{\TeX}'s \texttt{\input} mechanism to re-process these characters under the current catcode regime. As the \texttt{\input} mechanism is used, even hex notation (\texttt{\hex{xy}}) will be re-interpreted. Parentheses and a single space representing the pseudo-file will be displayed if \texttt{\trace\scantokens} (q.v.) is positive and non-zero.

### 3.3 Environmental enquires

- \texttt{\eTeXrevision}
- \texttt{\eTeXversion}
- \texttt{\grouplevel}
- \texttt{\grouptype}
- \texttt{\ifcsname}
- \texttt{\ifdefed}
- \texttt{\lastnodetype}

\texttt{\eTeXrevision}: an primitive which expands to yield a sequence of character tokens of catcode 12; these represent the minor component of the combined version/revision
number. Pre-release versions will be characterised by an initial minus sign (−), whilst post-release versions will be implicitly positive; both will contain an explicit leading decimal point, which will follow any minus sign present.

\texttt{\LaTeX version}: an internal read-only integer representing the major component of the combined version/revision number.

\texttt{\grouplevel}: an internal read-only integer which returns the current group level (i.e., depth of nesting).

\texttt{\grouptype}: an internal read-only integer which returns the type of the innermost group as an integer in the range \(0\ldots6\). Textual definitions of these types are provided through the an associated macro library, but it is intended that these definitions shall be easily replaceable by national language versions in environments within which English language texts are sub-optimal.

\texttt{\ifcsname}: similar in effect to the sequence
\texttt{\unless \expandafter \ifx \expandafter \relax \csname}
but avoids the side-effect of the \texttt{\csname} being ascribed the value \texttt{\relax}, and also does not rely on \texttt{\relax} having its canonical meaning. No hash-table entry is used if \texttt{\csname} does not exist. (\texttt{\unless} is explained below.)

\texttt{\ifdefined}: similar in effect to \texttt{\unless \ifx \undefined}, but does not require \texttt{\undefined} to actually be undefined, since no explicit comparison is made with any particular control sequence.

\texttt{\astnodetype}: an internal read-only integer which returns the type of the last node on the current list as an integer in the range \(-1\ldots15\) (only values \(-1\ldots15\) are defined in the first release, but future releases may define additional values). Textual definitions of these types are provided through an associated macro library, but it is intended that these definitions shall be easily replaceable by national language equivalents for use in environments within which the use of English language texts is sub-optimal.

### 3.4 Generalisation of the \texttt{\mark} concept: a class of \texttt{\marks}

- \texttt{\marks}
- \texttt{\botmarks}
- \texttt{\firstmarks}
- \texttt{\topmarks}
- \texttt{\splitfirstmarks}
- \texttt{\splitbotmarks}

\texttt{\marks}: whereas \TeX has only one \texttt{\mark}, which has to be over-loaded if more than one class of information is to be saved (e.g., over-loading is necessary if separate information for recto and verso pages is to be maintained), e-\TeX has a whole class of \texttt{\marks} (16, in the first release); thus rather than writing \texttt{\mark general text} as in \TeX, in e-\TeX one writes \texttt{\mark 4-bit number general text}. For example, \texttt{\marks 0} could be used to retain information for the verso page, whilst \texttt{\marks 1} could retain information for the recto.
There are equivalent classes for the five \marks variables \botmarks, \firstmarks, \topmarks, \splitfirstmarks and \splitbotmarks.

### 3.5 Bi-directional typesetting: the \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} primitives

- \TeXXeXeTstate
- \beginL
- \beginR
- \endL
- \endR
- \predisplaydirection

The \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} was developed by Peter Breitenlohner based on the original \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} of Donald Knuth and Pierre MacKay; whereas \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} generated non-standard DVI files, \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} generates perfectly normal DVI files which can therefore be processed by standard DVI drivers (assuming, of course, that the necessary fonts are available). Both systems permit the direction of typesetting (conventionally left-to-right in Western documents) to be reversed for part or all of a document, which is particularly useful when setting languages such as Hebrew or Arabic.

\beginL: indicates the start of a region (e.g. a section of text, or a pre-constructed box) which should be set left-to-right;
\beginR: indicates the start of a region which should be set right-to-left;
\endL: indicates the end of a region which should be set left-to-right;
\endR: indicates the end of a region which should be set right-to-left;
\TeXXeXeTstate: an internal read/write integer, its value is zero or negative to indicate that \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} features are not to be used; a positive value indicates that they may be used. As the internal data structures built by \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} differ from those built by \TeX{}, and as the typesetting of a document by \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} may therefore differ from that performed by \TeX{}, \TeXXeXeTstate defaults to zero, and even if set positive during format creation will be re-set to zero before the format is dumped. Explicit user action is therefore required to enable \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} semantics, and \TeX{}–\XeTeX{} is thereby classed as an enhancement, not simply an extension.

### 3.6 Additional debugging features

- \interactionmode
- \showgroups
- \showtokens
- \tracingassigns
- \tracinggroups
- \tracingsifs
- \tracingscantokens
- Additional detail for \tracingcommands
\interactionmode: whereas in \TeX there exist only explicit commands such as \scrollmode, \errorstopmode, etc., in \eTeX read/write access is provided via \interactionmode (an internal integer); assigning a numeric value sets the associated mode, whilst the current mode may be ascertained by interrogating its value. Symbolic definitions of these values are provided through an associated macro library, but it is intended that these definitions shall be easily replaced by national-language equivalents in environments within which the use of English is sub-optimal.

\showgroups: (e-\TeX) has many different classes of group, which should normally be properly balanced and nested; if a nesting or imbalance error occurs, it can be very difficult to track down the source of the problem. \showgroups causes \eTeX to display the level and type of all active groups from the point within which it was called.

\showtokens, when followed by a general text, displays a sequence of characters corresponding to the decomposition of the balanced text of the unexpanded general text; c.f. \detokenize.

\tracinggroups: a further aid to debugging runaway-group problems, the command \tracinggroups (an internal read/write integer) causes \eTeX to trace entry and exit to every group while set to a positive non-zero value.

\tracingscantokens: an internal read/write integer, assigning it a positive non-zero value will cause an open-parenthesis and space to be displayed whenever \scantokens is invoked; the matching close-parenthesis will be recorded when the scan is complete. If a traceback occurs during the expansion of \scantokens, the first displayed line number will reflect the logical line number of the pseudo-file created from the parameter to \scantokens; thus enabling \tracingscantokens can assist in identifying why an seemingly irrational line number is shown as the source of error (the traceback always continues until the line number of the actual source file is displayed).

If \tracingcommands is greater than 2, additional information is displayed.

3.7 Miscellaneous primitives

- \everyeof
- \middle
- \unless

\everyeof: this is one of Knuth’s ‘possibly good ideas’, listed at the end of \TeX82. Bug; analogous to the other \every... primitives, it takes as parameter a balanced text, the tokens of which are inserted when the end of a file (either real or virtual, if \scantokens is used) is reached. This allows \input statements to be used within the replacement text of \edef, and allows totally arbitrary files to be \input within a \eTeX conditional, since the necessary \fi can be inserted before \eTeX complains that it has fallen off the end of the file.

\middle: analogous to \TeX’s \left and \right, \middle specifies that the following delimiter is to serve both as a right and left delimiter; it will be set with
spacing appropriate to a right delimiter w.r.t. the preceding atom(s), and with spacing appropriate to a left delimiter w.r.t. the succeeding atom(s).

\textit{unless}: \texttt{\LaTeX} has, by design, a rather sparse set of conditional primitives: \texttt{\textbackslash if}, \texttt{\textbackslash ifodd}, \texttt{\textbackslash ifvoid}, etc., have no complementary counterparts. Whilst this normally poses no problems since each accepts both a \texttt{\textbackslash then} (implicit) and an \texttt{\textbackslash else} (explicit) part, problems occur when one is used as the final \texttt{\textbackslash if...of a \textbackslash loop ... \textbackslash if ... \textbackslash repeat} construct, since no \texttt{\textbackslash else} is allowed after the final \texttt{\textbackslash if...}. \texttt{\textbackslash unless} allows the sense of all Boolean conditionals to be inverted, and thus (for example) \texttt{\textbackslash unless \textbackslash if\textbackslash of} yields true if end-of-file has not yet been reached.

4 What next?

At the time of writing, \texttt{\varepsilon-\LaTeX} version 1 is ready to go to \texttt{\LaTeX}-Implementors, although work remains to be done on the \texttt{\etrip} test. Whether it will have been released to the implementors before the conference cannot be predicted, since I discovered today that I have only four working days left before I leave for Europe, and it will not be possible to release it once I am away. The version being prepared for the implementors is termed Version 1\beta (the \texttt{\NTS} team themselves acted as \texttt{\alpha}-testers). Once the implementors have given us the go-ahead and said that in their opinion \texttt{\varepsilon-\LaTeX} is a viable alternative to \texttt{\LaTeX} (by which I mean that it is completely compatible, and functions according to the accompanying documentation), we will release it to the \texttt{\LaTeX} world as a whole. We will react as quickly as possible to any bug reports (we sincerely hope that there will be few!), and we will then concentrate on new features for version 2. We certainly intend to work as closely as possible with the \texttt{\LaTeXe} team, not because we believe that \texttt{\LaTeXe} is necessarily right for everybody, but because (a) we respect the intellect and knowledge of the members of the \texttt{\LaTeXe} team, and (b) because it might be possible to enable them to achieve things with \texttt{\LaTeX} and \texttt{\varepsilon-\LaTeX} which would either be impossible or extraordinarily difficult with \texttt{\LaTeX} and \texttt{\LaTeX}. We have a very long list of suggestions from Nelson, we still have many of Knuth's 'possibly good ideas' to consider, and we have an enormous number of suggestions made on \texttt{\NTS-L}: we are unlikely to run out of ideas for many years yet!
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